TITLE:

THE LASL GAMMA-RAY BURST ASTRONOMY PROGRAM

AUTHOR(S):

R. W. Klebesadel, W. D. Evans, J. R. Laros

SUBMITTED TO:

Astrophysics and Space Referee (Proceedings of Conterpace on Cosmic Gamma Ray Bursts, Toulouse, France, November 25-30, 1979) MASTER

DESCRIMENCA

By acceptance of this article, the publisher reconstruction US. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribu-

The Cos Alamos Scientific Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Livergy.

tion, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government pur





Post Office Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545 Ar Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer



Form No. 836 ft.3 St. No. 2629 12/78

University of California

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
CONTRACT W 7405 FNG. 38

The LASL Gamma-Ray Burst Astronomy Program

R. W. Klebesadel, W. D. Evans, J. R. Laros

University of California, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory

Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

Gamma-ray bursts were first reported from observations performed by the Vela satellites (Klebesadel et al., 1973). The events were identified from the Vela data primarily because of the rapid time variations resolved by the data recorded by the gamma-ray flux monitors included aboard the Vela satellites. The Vela 4 satellites, launched in 1967, were responsible for the initial observation of a single remarkable event. Vela 5 and Vela 6 satellite. Launched in 1969 and 1970, acquired data which provided the evidence necessary to establish convincingly the validity of the observations.

The Vela observations allowed identification of these events because of the unique gamma-ray burst monitoring instrument employed. This system was designed to respond to rapidly increasing count rates in an array of scintillation detectors sensitive to photons (and energetic particles) depositing energy in the range 150 to 750 keV or 300 to 1500 keV. These data were recorded in an on-board memory, so that observations could be conducted nearly continuously and independently of telemetry monitoring. Simultaneous observations by identical instrumentation at widely spaced locations in a common 125,000 km circular geocentric orbit, and usually including locations both within and outside to earth'r magnetosphere, allowed rejection of a local origin and confirmed the true nature of the events.

TABLE 1 VELA GAMMA BURST CATALOG

	Time	5		6			Time	5		6	,
te	UT-s	A	В	٨	В	Date	UT-s	Ā	В	A	
				_							_
969-						-1974-					
10703	26233	X	X			740121	67905	X			
16719	13606		X			740723	47498	X			
91007	26790	X	X			740929	67905	X			
91008	59444	X	+			-1975-					
91017A		X	X			751102	43518	X	X		
91017B	78113	X	X			751202	86398	X	X	X	
-1970-						-1976-					
00710	19066	X		X		760128	26969	X	X	X	
00822	60570	X		X	X	760322	55528	X	X	X	
01001	56532	X				760407	10464	X			
01201	72059			X	X	760419	26771	X			
01230	75337	X		X	X	760612	68618	X	X	X	
-1971-						760816	58532	X			
10102	69056	X		X	X	760903	53400	X			
10227	62857	X		X		761123	68873	X			
13315	40827	X	X	X	X	761204	79853	+			
10318	55685	X		X	X	761209	03858	X	X	X	
10421	11919	X	X			761220	63511	X	X	X	
10630	63059			X	X	-1977 -					
1972-	-					77017	79531	X		X	
20117	63556	X	X	X		770131	37764	X			
20 3 1 2	57194	X	X	X	X	770310	38395	X			
20328	49587	X	X			770410	48447	X		X	
20427	39512	+		X		770501	76559	X			
20514	13591	X		X	X	770708	46230)			
21101	68206	X		X	X	771020	28495	X			
21113	55758				+	771029	42051	X			
21, 18	73659			X	X	771110	61967	X			
1973-				_		-1978-					
30125	54900					780508	72877	X			
30302	84475	٨		X	X	780519	26509	X			
30416	45550			+		780521	78827	y.			
30507	29071	X		X	X	780971	14165	X			
30606A		X		+	^	781104		Ŷ	X	X	
306061		X		X		781119	34016	X	x	Ŷ	
30610	75582	X		X	X	781124	14130	X	^	^	
30721	32113	<u> </u>		X	X	-1979-	(-7 1)()	^			
30725	61673	X		X	X	790113	27 1 9A	X			
30918	18634	X		X	^	790305	57125	X	X	X	
30976	57890	^ +		^		7903:5	48293	X	Λ	^	
31217	29348	X				[10.36.3	401 44	^			
		_									

X denotes triggered response.

^{*} denotes real-time response.

The Vela satellites have recorded 73 gamma-ray bursts in the ten year interval from April 1969 through April 1979. Table 1 lists the events for which the Vela satellites have recorded data. The individual systems have responded with different efficiencies because of variations in sensitivities and transient instrumental and operational problems. Currently, all four of these systems are still supplying data useful in the investigations of gamma-ray bursts.

There existed a capability, through the good time resolution of the Vela data and moderately large separation between the satellites, for determining directions to the sources of the more intense bursts (those observed by three or four of the satellites) (Strong et al., 1974). These data were initially useful in rejecting the sun and other major members of the solar system as sources of the bursts. Although directional resolution is insufficient to allow identification of specific source objects, the distribution of these sources is nearly isotropic, suggesting a near galactic population or an extra-galactic origin. A marginally significant preference in the distribution toward the galactic equator suggested that a nearly galactic population was responsible for the bursts.

Upon publication of the Veia observations and through the cooperation and generosity of the Naval kesearch Laboratory we were able to include gamma-ray burst monitors aboard the NRL natellites SOLRAD 11A and 11B. This instrumentation was rather monest, since it was incorporated within contingencies for weight and power, at a late stage in the design. The instruments performed well but had a short life because of spacecraft thermal control problems. The two SOLRAD 11 spacecraft were launched April 1976. THE telemetry transmissions ceased in December 1976, while the gamma burst instrument aboard 11A continued to operate sponsificatly until July 1977.

These instruments responded to a total of nine gamma-ray bursts. Of these, all were observed by at least one Vela, which was a criterion for verification of a candidate event. Four of the events were also observed by Helios-2. Event 761220 was the most intense event occurring in this period, and was observed by both Solrads, three Velam, and Felios-2. The event as recorded by Solrad 11B is shown in Fig. 1.

In response to a NASA request, LASL proposed a gamma-ray burst monitor to be included aboard the Pioneer Venus Orbiter spacecraft. The experiment was authorized in 1975 and was launched in May 1978. The instrument was placed in operation one day after launch and has served almost continuously since that time. During the same period we collaborated with UCE/Space Sciences Lab, providing the logics and memory necessary to utilize the ISEE-3 solar x-ray spectrometer as a gamma-ray burst monitor (Anderson et al., 1978). ISEE-3 was launched in August 1978, and 1: too has been serving nearly continuously since that time.

Bot 1 the Pioneer Venus and ISEE-3 instruments are in current operation fulfilling their mission. Another paper presented at this symposium (Evans et al., 1970) describes the Pioneer Venus instrument and results. The ISEE-3 gamma-ray burst experiment utilizes signals derived from the x-ray spectrometer, ... own in Fig. 2. A tantal m collimator is required to reduce the background counting rates in the energy range below #100 keV from sources lying 55° beyond the plane of the ecliptic. The collimator was designed to minimize attentuation above 100 keV so as to provide, as nearly as possible with the given geometry, a uniformly omnidirectional response. The ISEE-3 instrument is somewhat less sensitive than Pioneer Venus because of a slightly smaller area and highificantly higher energy threshold. Also, since there is a single

sensor, there is a higher potential for spin rate modulation for those sources located in the southern ecliptic hemisphere.

The ISEE-3 logics provide a capability of storing data in different modes, depending upon whether a response is determined to be caused by a gamma-ray burst or an x-ray burst from a solar flare. This determination is based upon the spectral distribution of the response. In the gamma-ray burst mode of operation count rate data in the full differential energy range 132 & E < 1250 keV are accumulated in data samples recorded on a period of 11.7 ms. When the counting rate rises to a level such that 16 counts are accumulated within less than the 11.7 ms basic period, the time-to-spill, i.e., time required to attain this level of 16 counts (with resolution of \$\sigma\$ 1/4 ms) is recorded. rather than the level of accumulation. The data sample is presented as a 6 bit number, coded to avoid ambiguity between counts accumulated and time-towill. A single set of spectral data in six sub-intervals bounded by discrete threeholds at 132, 164, 228, 356, 484, 740, and 1250 keV is accumulated with each set of twelve samples in the full energy interval, comprising a data frame. While operating in the uniescent state, sixteen such frames are contained in a circular memory, preserving data representing the history of the detector response in the preceding 2.25 seconds.

The onset of an event is identified and high-resolution data acquisition is initiated by a "trigger" system based upon a logical comparison of countain the full energy interval accumulated on periods of 1/4, 1, and 2 s to logically constructed references which represent levels anticipated with a random probability of 10⁻⁶ or 10⁻⁸ (selectable upon command). When the trigger criterion is satisfied in any of these three channels, data acquisition into the experiment memory is initiated, and continues until the memory

is completely filled. The memory may be read out automatically, upon completion of the data acquisition cycle, or upon command, as a function of a command selectable option.

The ISEE-3 instrument has been in operation since August 1978. In that time, responses to 11 events were recorded as shown in Table 2 which tabulates the participation of LASL instrumentation in observations of verified events. Some ISEE-3 data were lost because of unscheduled telemetry outages. Generally, however, the efficiency in recording GRBs has been comparable to that of the Pioneer the Venus experiment.

It was our hope and our intention that we would be able to respond to the occurrence of g mma-ray bursts by providing directional analyses on a short time scale, alerting astronomers and allowing them to observe possible longer lived phenomena associated with the events. Such rapid reporting has been effected from the Vela satellites alone since 1974. However, operational and instrumental problems as well as a low frequency of events of intensity observable by available instruments preclude! any significant real-time reporting in that early period. Also, the directional resolution available from the Vela data was insufficient to warrant searches with high resolution (and, consequently, small field) instruments.

Utilizing data acquired through full-time tracking of the ISEE-3 space-craft and quick-look data from Pieneer Venus together with Vela data, we had hoped to be able to execute directional analyses quickly and with precision. Unfortunately, we discovered that ISEE-3 operations did not include active menitoring of the telemetry, and data were available routinely only from processed data tapes, with delays of six to eight weeks. Data were available more quickly, only upon specific request, through a procedure which required

TABLE 2 GAMMA RAY BURSTS OBSERVED BY LASL INSTRUMENTATION INCLUDING HELIOS, PROGNOZ, AND SIGNE (VENERA) 11 AND 12 RESPONSES

		Signe									
Event	PΥ	IC	НВ	P7	11	12	5 A	5B	6A	6В	
-1978-											
05/21	X		X				X				
09/14	X	X									
9/18		X			X						
09/21	X	X			X		X				
10/19	x	X				X					
11/04	X	X		X	X	X	X	X	X	X	
11/15 .	x	x			X	X					
11/19	X	x	X	X	x	x	X	X	X	X	
11/21	X			X		X					
11/24	x		X	X		X	X				
12/13	X		X	X			X				
-1979-											
01/13	X		X	X		X	X				
03/05	X	X	X	X	X	x	X	X	X		
03/07	X			X	X						
03/13	X					X					
03/25	X				x	X	X				
03/30	X	X				X					
04/06	X			x	x	X					
04/18	x	x		X	X	X					
04/19	X	X									
06 113	X				X	x					
06/26	X	×									

P = Pioneer Venus Orbiter

11 = Venera 11

I = ISEE-3

12 = Venera 12

HB = Helion-B

5A...6B = Vela 5A...Vela 6B

F7 = Prognoz 7

re-playing data logging tapes at the operations center and including the delays of transmitting data through the mails.

The availability of Pioneer Venus data also provided less tha optimum conditions for rapid analyses and reporting. The computer based quick-look system was not impremented until orbital insertion on 1978 Dec. 4, and was supported only until 1979 Sept. 20. During the cruise phase of the mission previous to orbital insertion and presently we receive quick-look data through listings produced at the Pioneer Venus operations center located at the NASA/Ames Research Center. Telephone notification of the event flag condition is also provided. However, the current frequency of events triggered by solar activity discourages attempts to expedite data transmission through extraordinary means.

Additionally, fully processed data have been made available only since June 1979. These data were required to characterize the operation of the instrument, particularly to calibrate the clock and to establish the actual sensitivity at which the sensors are operating. These data also provide full coverage, including some events which were not recovered through the quick-look system.

In all, we were disappointed by our lack of a capability to respond rapidly to events. We continue to believe that such rapid response is a worthy goal, but achieving that goal through the present techniques of triangulation from widely spaced platforms would require a greater commitment on the part of those responsible for program operations. Perhaps a better technique, but one requiring a considerably greater investment in development and instrumentation, is imaging the source with a system having inherent directional resolution.

With fully processed data available, however, we are capable of contributing toward the primary objectives of these instruments: the precise determination of directions to gamma-ray burst sources. Approximately 20 events presenting a potential for reasonably precise locations have already been identified with a number of additional candidates as yet unverified. Further, the instruments contributing toward these measurements remain in good health, and may be expected to continue to provide observations.

The ultimate precision in directional determinations will require cooperative analyses of data from all members of the array of gamma-ray burst monitors. The primary goal of this meeting is that of furthering such cooperation. We hope that the interchange will promote that goal through improved mutual understanding of the various instruments involved.

Acknowledgment

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

References

- Anderson, K. A., kane, S. R., Primbsch, J. M., Weitzman, R. H., Evans, W. D., Klebesadel, R. W., and Aiello, W. P.: 1978, IEEE Transaction on Geoscience Electronicss GE-1613, 157-159.
- Evans, W. D., Klebesadel, R. W., Laros, J. R.: 1979 Proceedings of the Toulouse Symposium on Cosmic Gamma-Ray Bursts (to be published)
- Klebesadel, R. W., Strong, F. B., and Olson, R. A.: 1973 Astrophys. J. Letters 182, L85.

Strong, I. B., Klebesadel, R. W., and Olson, R. A.: 1974, Astrophys. J. Letters 188, L1.

!igure Captions

- Fig. 1. The gamma-ray burst event of 76/12/20 as recorded by SOLRAD 11B.
- Fig. 2. The configuration of the sensor of the ISEE-3 Solar X-Ray Spectrometer/Gamma Burst Detector.



